

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,081	08/16/2005	Yoshitaka Atarashi	056208.55952US	5107
2591 04900008 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON. DC 20044-4300			EXAMINER	
			VU, BAI D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	71, 20 20011 1000		2165	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) ATARASHI ET AL.	
Nation of Aboundance and	10/525.081		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bai D. Vu	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply to the office and the period for reply to the office and the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply to the office and the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply the period for reply the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply the period for reply the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply the period for rep	Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	s not constitute a proper reply und	er 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		attempt at a proper reply, to the non-	
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- 		ithin the statutory period of three months	
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we highly, which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	ce of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by	/ 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re- Allowability (PTO-37). 	quired by, and within the three-mo	nth period set in, the Notice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or	Transmission dated), which is	
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	he attorney or agent of record, the	assignee of the entire interest, or all of	
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	an attorney or agent (acting in a re	presentative capacity under 37 CFR	
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla 		cause the period for seeking court review	
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:			
On April 24, 2008, the applicant's representative Norfice action mailed on October 16, 2007.	/Ir. Michael H. Jacobs confirme	d that there was no response to the	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Bai D Vu/

Examiner, Art Unit 2165

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

/Christian P. Chace/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165